MINUTES

CPIC CONFERENCE CALL

DATE: September 1st, 2011

PRESENT: Uli Broeckel, Tom Callaghan, Michelle Carrillo, Kristine Crews, Andrea Gaedigk, James Hoffman, Lucia Hindorff, Julie Johnson, Deanna Kroetz, Dina Paltoo, Susan Leckband, Rochelle Long, Danuel Mueller, Mary Relling, Alan Shuldiner, Todd Skaar, Kathryn Teng

| TOPIC | DISCUSSION/ACTION | FOLLOW-UP |
| --- | --- | --- |
| CPIC draft response to GTRASHP Endorsement of CPIC GuidelinesAbacavir Guideline draft CYP2D6/codeine reviews are inCPIC priorities  | Rochelle is on advisory committee for GTR in her role as an NIH employee (not as rep of CPIC). CPIC is drafting a response letter (drafted by Stuart Scott), which was circulated to CPIC members for comment. CPIC should review drug-related phenotypes in pull down list and GTR should link to CPIC guidelines for pharmacogenes as the “GeneReviews” component. American Society of Health System Pharmacists is a professional association for pharmacists focused on hospital practice. Through discussion on the role of pharmacists in pharmacogenetics the opportunity has arisen for ASHP to formally endorse CPIC guidelines. ASHP has an existing process to formally endorse therapeutic guidelines. They have done this already with high profile clinical guidelines, such as the ACCP anticoagulation guidelines. ASHP understands that they cannot edit the guidelines through the endorsement process. ASHP has been provided both published guidelines and the CPT commentary. There are at least 2 potential benefits of formal endorsement o Endorsement will help promote the guidelines – at least to pharmacists o Feedback on clinical utility – regardless of the endorsement decision, ASHP’s review process will give us detailed feedback on the guidelines content and format for cliniciansThere was discussion regarding the committee that will review the CPIC guidelines. The committee is the ASHP Council on Therapeutics. The merits of having outside professional organization endorse CPIC guidelines were also discussed. Authors of HLA-based CPIC guidelines held a teleconference last month and HLA allele descriptions have been refined based on that conference. Dr. Kroetz circulated a draft of the abacavir guidelines prior to the CPIC call and several issues were discussed. General information about HLA alleles has been added and should be read by all working on HLA guidelines and referenced in the future HLA guidelines. There was substantial discussion as to what to do when testing isn’t available, given the problem that HIV is common in poor countries. The consensus was that CPIC guidelines should be written to encompass best possible practices, even though testing may not be feasible in all situations. Kris Crews mentioned that the peer reviewed comments from CPT for CYP2D6/codeine guidelines are in. Key points of review concerned activity score questions, and a suggestion to provide a broader discussion on pediatric populations. CPIC discussed that unless guidelines differed substantially for pediatrics and there was extensive literature backing up differences, discussion of pediatrics as a special case would be limited.Kathryn Teng mentioned she was asked by Marc Williams how CPIC decides which guidelines to publish. | Mary will revise and send to CPIC for review; will also circulate to all PGRN PIs.James Hoffman will be the liaison between CPIC and ASHP and will update CPIC on outcomes. CPIC members were encouraged to consider other professional organizations that might be interested in endorsing CPIC guidelines.Deadline for comments within CPIC September 15. Dr. Crews and co-authors will revise manuscript.Mary will craft a response (referring to published material) and copy Rochelle Long and Dan Roden. |