CPIC Guidelines Genes-Drugs Alleles Publications Meetings Resources Working Groups Members Contact

# CPIC<sup>®</sup> Guideline for Rasburicase and G6PD

Most recent guideline publication:

<u>Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) Guidelines for</u> <u>Rasburicase Therapy in the context of G6PD Deficiency Genotype (August 2014)</u>

Updates since publication:

**September 2018:** The CPIC authors recommend that the *G6PD A* variant be categorized as IV/normal function (previously II-IV/Deficient-Normal function) based on new evidence supporting function (PMID 27040960 and 30206300). This change has been incorporated into <u>*G6PD*</u> allele definition table.

Tables and figure provided in the main manuscript of the guideline:

Table 1. Assignment of likely G6PD phenotypes based on genotype/diplotype

Table 2. Recommended therapeutic use of rasburicase in relation to G6PD phenotype

Figure 1. Workflow for interpreting G6PD genotype and for assessing the need for an enzyme activity test.

# G6PD CPIC guideline update goals:



- Expand beyond rasburicase
- Update and reformat tables:
  - Allele definition
  - Allele functionality---coordinate with WHO, document evidence
  - allele frequency tables
- Acknowledge limitations of phenotype test



G6PD activity interference assessment algorithm.

Activity can misclassify patients: Genotyping reassigned phenotype in 5/438 patients with discordant genotype and activity results:

- 3 switched from normal to deficient
- 2 two switched from deficient to normal

#### **Original** Article

### Studies on red cell glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase: evaluation of reference values

Günes T Yüregir, Kiymet Aksoy, Abdullah Arpaci, Isa Ünlükurt and Abdullah Tuli From the Biochemistry Department, Çukurova University Medical School, 01330 Balcali, Adana, Turkey Intra-individual variation > inter-individual variation; one single measurement does not suffice

 TABLE 2. Components of variation (CV) and indices
 25

 derived for red blood cell glucose-6-phosphate
 (960)

 dehydrogenase of 18 normal healthy subjects (%)
 (960)

 Analytical
 CV<sub>A</sub>
 8.67

 variation
 (total)
 10

ntra-individual	$CV_1$	32-75
variation		
nter-individual	CVG	31.8
variation		



### G6PD

- <u>Evidence review</u>: evidence showing a drug's association with hemolytic anemia in G6PD deficiency
  - high, moderate, weak evidence
- Assignment of drug to <u>risk category</u>
  - high-risk, medium-risk, low-to-no risk, vs no recommendation
- Assignment of <u>Strength to prescribing recommendations</u>
  - Strong, moderate, optional, vs no recommendation

## Which drugs?

### Supplemental Table S6. Drug and compound safety reviews for G6PD deficient patients

	Drug/ compound	FDA Drug	Italian G6PD	WHO	Beutler et al,	Cappellini et	Elyassi et al,	(4)	Luzzatto &
		Label	Deficiency	Working	1994 (1)	al, 2008 (3)	2008 (26)		Poggi,
		Information*	Association	Group,					Chapter 17:
Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation			www.g6pd.org"	1989 (10)					G6PD
Consortium (CPIC) Guidelines for B	ashuricase								Deficiency
Thorapy in the Context of COD Defici	ancyConstyne								(Nathan and
merapy in the context of GoPD Denci	ency denotype								Uski's
MV Relling <sup>1</sup> , EM McDonagh <sup>2</sup> , T Chang <sup>3</sup> , KE Caudle <sup>1</sup> , HL McLeod <sup>4</sup> , CE Ha	aidar <sup>1</sup> , T Klein <sup>2</sup> and								Hematology
L LaLlatto	I								of infancy
									and Childhood)
	Acalypha indica					Possible			(14)
> 90 drugs and	extract					association			
						with hemolysis			
chemicals						in G6PD			
						deficient			
						patients.			
	Acetanilide		Risk level: high,		Should be	Definite	Unsafe for		Definite risk of
	(acetanilid)		for Medit.,		avoided by	association	Class 1, 2, 3.		hemolysis
			Asian.		G6PD	with hemolysis			
					deficient	in G6PD			
					patients.	deficient			
						patients.			
	Acetylphenylhydra		Risk level: high,	Should be					
	zine (2'-		for all.	avoided by					
	phenylacetohydraz			all G6PD					
	ide)			deficient					
	Acetylphenylhydra		Risk level: high,	Should be					
	zme (2'-		for all.	avoided by					
	pnenylacetohydraz			all GoPD					
	ide)			deficient					
				patients.					

Started with > 80 drugs & chemicals; included 48 in literature review; 32 classified as high, med, or low-to-no risk (15 drugs did not even have a single case report)



# Drugs that underwent evidence review for AHA in setting of G6PD deficiency

4-aminosalicylic acid	glyburide	pegloticase	tafenoquine	
aspirin	hydroxychloroquine	phenazopyridine	tolbutamide	
Chloramphenicol	mafenide	primaquine	toluidine blue	
Chloroquine	mepacrine	probenecid	tolazamide	
chlorpropamide	mesalazine	Quinine	trametinib	
Ciprofloxacin	methylene blue	rasburicase	vitamin C	
dabrafenib	moxifloxacin	Sodium nitrite	vitamin K	
dapsone	nalidixic acid	sulfacetamide		
dimercaprol	nicorandil	sulfadiazine		
doxorubicin	nitrofural	sulfadimidine		
furazolidone	nitrofurantoin	sulfamethoxazole		
gliclazide	norfloxacin	sulfanilamide		
glimepiride	ofloxacin	sulfasalazine		
glipizide		sulfisoxazole		

Sulfonylurea Sulfa antimicrobial

### From CPIC SOP

### Member Resources

- Manage your CPIC profile (including your password)
- Conference call minutes
- CPIC guideline drafts (for member review)
- <u>CPIC SOP</u>
- Draft allele function SOP
- <u>CPIC authorship guidelines and conflict of interest standards</u>
- CPIC Informatics Working Group
- CPIC Dissemination Working Group
- CPIC Scientific Advisory Board

#### Score the evidence

Initially, three or more authors will independently evaluate the literature. These authors will be responsible for presenting studies and recommending a level of evidence for each major finding to all guideline authors on a series of conference calls. All authors will be responsible for reviewing the evidence prior to a conference call and all authors will discuss and decide on the final score during these conference calls. Interim evidence tables will be circulated to the entire author group after each call; any disagreements with assignment of evidence will need to be sent in writing by 10 days after each summation. Re-addressing review of previous evidence summations on future calls will not take place unless circumstances are extraordinary, so all authors are required to review and declare their disagreements in real time.

Publications supporting a major finding should be grouped together and scored based on all the evidence that supports that major finding using the following criteria:

- High: Evidence includes consistent results from well-designed, well-conducted studies.
- Moderate: Evidence is sufficient to determine effects, but the strength of the evidence is limited by the number, quality, or consistency of the individual studies; generalizability to routine practice; or indirect nature of the evidence.
- Weak: Evidence is insufficient to assess the effects on health outcomes because of limited number or power of studies, important flaws in their design or conduct, gaps in the chain of evidence, or lack of information

Valdes, R., Payne, D.A. & Linder, M.W. Laboratory analysis and application of pharmacogenetics to clinical practice. (Washington, DC, NACB, 2010).

### Reviewed hundreds of papers for drug associations with AHA in G6PD deficiency

<ul> <li>V Format Pair</li> <li>Clipboard</li> </ul>	iter Eant		ent S	Number	.00 →0 Formatting ~ Tabl	ev	Style	E		· · ·	✓ ✓ ✓ Clear ✓ Filte Cells	r × Select × v
A12 - :	× √ <i>f</i> <sub>×</sub> 35		121	Number	121		Style	3		I	cens i Luturig	
A	В	C D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	М	Ν
PMID	Study	Year Drug	Dose	n	Study Design	Pediatric?	Age (yrs, unle	e Sex	Ethnicity	Genotype	Enzyme Assay	Hemolytic Anem
4390130	Pe et al	1969 aminosalicylic acid			In vitro							
13436516	Szeinberg et al	1957 aminosalicylic acid	NR	1	Case Report	NR	NR	NR	Yemeni	NR	NR	Х
13836342	Szeinberg et al	1960 aspirin	1500 mg (unclear if	one 1	Case Report	No	19	М	Iraqi	NR	Deficent	Х
5925237	Westring et al	1966 aspirin	150 mg	1	Case Report	Yes	20 months	М	Puerto Rican	NR	Deficient	Х
5432368	Shahidi et al	1970 aspirin								A-, Mediterrane	a Deficient	Х
5041552	Brown et al	1972 aspirin	250 mg	1	Case Report	No	33	М	Black	NR	Deficient	Х
3 4655122		aspirin										
4852166	Onadeko et al	1974 aspirin	NR	1	Case Report	No	38	F	NR	NR	Deficient	Х
0 1194198		aspirin										
1 1140951	Herman et al	1975 aspirin	NR	2	Retrospective Cohort	No	17, 59	F, M	Kurdish	NR	Deficient	Х
2 35	Worathumrong et al	1975 aspirin			In vitro							
3 990860	Chan et al	1976 aspirin	6 g/day	1		No	NR	М	Chinese	NR	Deficient	Х
4 993904	Glader et al	1976 aspirin	50 mg/kg/day	22	In vitro, clinical	No		M, F	Black, Caucasian	NR	Deficient	
5 6938234	Sheth et al	1981 aspirin			In vitro							
6 6533616	Seeler et al	1984 aspirin	100 mg/kg/day	1	Case Report	Yes	9	М	Black	NR	Deficient	
7 2502894	Meloni et al	1989 aspirin	100 mg/kg/day	1	Case Report	Yes	4	М	NR	NR	Deficient	х
8 1708959	Choudhry et al	1990 aspirin	NR	8	Case Report	Yes	NR	NR	Afghani	NR	Deficient	х
9 1803794	Shalev	1991 aspirin	"low dose"	44	Prospective Cohort	No	38 to 62	М	NR	Mediterranean	Deficient	
0 7653979		aspirin										
1 9747060	Khurana et al	1998 aspirin	"high dose"	1	Case Report	No	37	М	African American	NR	Deficient	х
2 10793824	Ali et al	1999 aspirin			In vitro							
3 18852594	Rigattieri et al	2008 aspirin	100 mg	1	Case Report	No	64	М	NR (Italian?)	Mediterranean	Deficient	
4 22974725	Pappas et al	2013 aspirin	100 mg	1	Case Report	No	70	м	NR (Greek?)	NR	Deficient	
5 25843116	Biscaglia et al	2015 aspirin	100 mg	5	Case Report	No	41 to 67	M, F	NR	Seattle (Case #2	2 Deficient	
6 25807896	Kafkas et al	2015 aspirin	100 mg	2	Case Report	No	78, 58	M	Caucasian	"Class II"	Deficient	
7 28982343	Hagag et al	2018 aspirin	NR	4	Retrospective Cohort	NR	NR	NR	NR (Egyptian?)	NR	Deficient	X
8 5111609	Ahmed et al	1971 aspirin	NR	4	Case Report	Yes	2.5, 3, 4.5. 10	IM, F	Nigerian	NR	Deficient	X
9 32531026	Sanna et al	2021 aspirin	100 mg	56	Prospective Cohort	No		M, F	0		Deficient	
0 34369077	Chen et al	2021 aspirin	100 mg	40	Post-hoc analysis	No	64 (median ag	z M, F	Chinese		Deficient	x
1 32878589	Chen et al	2021 aspirin	100 mg	81	Prospective Cohort	No		M. F	Chinese		Deficient	X (1 pt out of 81)
2 14106007	Flatz et al	1963 chloramphenicol	NR	2	Case Report	NR	NR	NR	NR (Thai?)	NR	Deficient	X
3 14020373	Chatterii et al	1963 chloramphenicol	250 mg "4 hourly"	- 1	Case Report	No	24	M	Indian	NR	Deficient	X

# Assigning evidence level for association of drug with G6PD deficiency-associated hemolysis

### High evidence

- Good quality studies supporting G6PD involvement with control groups; OR
- Case reports with strong biological mechanism (e.g., production of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), especially if drug rarely used; AND
- No convincing contradictory data

### Moderate evidence

- Medium quality studies supporting G6PD involvement with control group; OR
- Case reports with plausible mechanism; AND
- Little to no convincing contradictory data

### Weak evidence

- Case reports or *in vitro* evidence only, especially for commonly used drugs; OR
- Studies that refute G6PD involvement with no convincing supportive studies; AND
- No convincing mechanistic data

Assigning evidence level for lack of association of drug with G6PD deficiency-associated hemolysis

### High evidence

- Good quality studies supporting lack of G6PD involvement with control groups; AND
- No convincing contradictory data

### Moderate evidence

- Medium quality studies supporting lack of G6PD involvement with control group; AND
- Little to no convincing contradictory data

### Weak evidence

- Weak/flawed studies supporting lack of G6PD involvement; OR
- Case reports or in vitro evidence only, especially for commonly used drugs

Worksheet for evidence review for current guideline: evidence linking hemolysis with drug use in G6PD deficiency

Iterative process

	$-1$ $\bigwedge$ Cut Calibri $11 \dots \bigwedge^{\circ} \bigwedge^{\circ} =  \Im^{\circ} $	ab, Wrap Toyt			Normal	2 Normal
		ce wrap lext General				
Past	$\overset{\text{ite}}{\checkmark} \overset{\text{Sormat Painter}}{\checkmark} B I \cup \checkmark \square \checkmark \bigtriangleup \checkmark \underline{A} \checkmark \equiv \Xi \equiv \overline{\Xi}$	🔁 Merge & Center 👻 💲 👻 9	69	Conditiona .00 →0 Formatting	<ul> <li>Format as Good</li> <li>Table *</li> </ul>	Neutral
	Clipboard ایتا Font Alignr	ment 🗔 Ni	umber	-		Styles
D41	1 $\checkmark$ : $\times$ $\checkmark$ $f_x$ 1140951					
	C.	D		E	r	G
	Major Findings	Beferences (PMID) (red = do	005	Level of Evidence	Level of Evidence	U Level of Evidence -
	major r mangs	not support statement)	/25	Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	CONSENSUS
1		not support statementy				
<u>-</u>	Individuals with CEPD deficiency did NOT experience hemelysis with	20210182	•	Week	Week	Week
8	offoracin	23210102		weak	WEak	WEdk
1 0	Individuals with GGPD deficiency did NOT experience hemolysis with	3118/9/3		Weak	Weak	Weak
g .	menivacaine	01104040		WCan	**Can	WCan
0	Hemolysis with furazolidone attributed to G6PD deficiency	5711919		Weak	Weak	Weak
1	nenorysis with fullazondone attributed to Gor B denciency.	1140951		- Con	Weak	Weak
21	Hemolysis with nitrofurantoin attributed to G6PD deficiency.	14100073		High	Moderate	Moderate
3	nenorysis with introduction attributed to bor b denotency.	5897424			moderate	moderate
4		1140951				
5		12659		-		
6		990860		-		
7		2089833				
8		24186886				
9		24789148				
0		28982343		-		
11	Hemolysis with mafenide attributed to G6PD deficiency.	4722683		Weak	Weak	Weak
2	Hemolysis with sulfadiazine attributed to G6PD deficiency.	1760119		Weak	Weak	Weak
3		5711919		-		
4		13836343				
E	Erythrocytes from individuals with G6PD deficiency who took sulfadimidine	7060320		Weak	Moderate	Moderate
•	exhibited a decline of reduced glutathione concentration.					
5						
6	Hemolysis with glyburide attributed to G6PD deficiency.	8562390		Weak	Weak	Weak
7		15126005				
8		21147013				
9	Hemolysis with tolbutamide attributed to G6PD deficiency.	4957012		Weak	Weak	Weak
0		3369438				
1	Hemolysis and methemoglobinemia with pegloticase attributed to G6PD	25224415		Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
2 0	deficiency.	26906307				
-						

G6PD drugs have been placed into 3 main categories: high risk, medium risk, and low-to-no risk.

- There are separate prescribing tables for those 3 categories, plus separate tables for primaquine (dose-dependent)
- We have assigned a strength of prescribing recommendation to each drug for its use in G6PD deficiency

# Assigning risk level for drug-induced hemolysis associated with G6PD deficiency

### HIGH RISK MEDICATIONS Avoid in patients with G6PD deficiency

- High-level evidence + rarely used drug; OR
- Moderate-level evidence + rarely used drug with regulatory warnings for patients with G6PD deficiency; OR
- Moderate-level evidence + strong biological mechanism for risk in patients with G6PD deficiency

### MEDIUM RISK MEDICATIONS Use with caution in patients with G6PD deficiency

- Moderate-level evidence without a strong biological mechanism for risk in patients with G6PD deficiency; OR
- Weak evidence + rarely used drug; OR
- Weak evidence + strong regulatory warnings for patients with G6PD deficiency that may have hindered use in these patients; OR
- Weak evidence + strong biological mechanism for risk in patients with G6PD deficiency

### LOW-TO-NO RISK MEDICATIONS Use without regard to G6PD phenotype

- Weak evidence + commonly used drug; OR
- Weak evidence + mild or inconsistent regulatory warnings for patients with G6PD deficiency

Strong regulatory warnings: "contraindicated; avoid" (more than just "caution")

### Strength of recommendations

From CPIC SOP

### Member Resources

- Manage your CPIC profile (including your password)
- Conference call minutes
- CPIC guideline drafts (for member review)
- <u>CPIC SOP</u>
- Draft allele function SOP
- <u>CPIC authorship guidelines and conflict of interest standards</u>
- CPIC Informatics Working Group
- CPIC Dissemination Working Group
- CPIC Scientific Advisory Board



from the rating scale for evidence-based recommendations on the use of antiretroviral agents (http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf). Therapeutic recommendations are graded as:

**Strong** recommendation for the statement: "The evidence is high quality and the desirable effects clearly outweigh the undesirable effects."

**Moderate** recommendation for the statement: "There is a close or uncertain balance" as to whether the evidence is high quality and the desirable clearly outweigh the undesirable effects.

**Optional** recommendation for the statement: The desirable effects are closely balanced with undesirable effects, or the evidence is weak or based on extrapolations. There is room for differences in opinion as to the need for the recommended course of action. **No recommendation**: There is insufficient evidence, confidence, or agreement to provide a recommendation to guide clinical practice at this time

# examples

# Assigning risk level for rasburicase/pegloticase--Strong

HIGH RISK MEDICATIONS	MEDIUM RISK MEDICATIONS	LOW-TO-NO RISK MEDICATIONS
Avoid in patients with G6PD deficiency	Use with caution in patients with G6PD deficiency	Use without regard to G6PD phenotype
<ul> <li>High-level evidence + rarely used drug; OR</li> <li>Moderate-level evidence + rarely used drug with regulatory warnings for patients with G6PD deficiency; OR</li> <li>Moderate-level evidence + strong biological mechanism for risk in patients with G6PD deficiency</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Moderate-level evidence without a strong biological mechanism for risk in patients with G6PD deficiency; OR</li> <li>Weak evidence + rarely used drug; OR</li> <li>Weak evidence + strong regulatory warnings for patients with G6PD deficiency that may</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Weak evidence + commonly used drug; OR</li> <li>Weak evidence + mild or inconsistent regulatory warnings for patients with G6PD deficiency</li> </ul>

have hindered use in these patients; OR

• Weak evidence + strong biological mechanism for risk in patients with G6PD deficiency

Raburicase (and it pegylated formulation, pegloticase) lowers uric acid by forming allantoin and hydrogen peroxide



# Assigning risk level for sulfamethoxazole-optional

### HIGH RISK MEDICATIONS Avoid in patients with G6PD deficiency

- High-level evidence + rarely used drug; OR
- Moderate-level evidence + rarely used drug with regulatory warnings for patients with G6PD deficiency; OR
- Moderate-level evidence + strong biological mechanism for risk in patients with G6PD deficiency

MEDIUM RISK MEDICATIONS Use with caution in patients with G6PD deficiency

- Moderate-level evidence without a strong biological mechanism for risk in patients with G6PD deficiency; OR
- Weak evidence + rarely used drug; OR
- Weak evidence + strong regulatory warnings for patients with G6PD deficiency that may have hindered use in these patients; OR
- Weak evidence + strong biological mechanism for risk in patients with G6PD deficiency

### LOW-TO-NO RISK MEDICATIONS Use without regard to G6PD phenotype

- Weak evidence + commonly used drug; OR
- Weak evidence + mild or inconsistent regulatory warnings for patients with G6PD deficiency

# Sulfamethoxazole: weak evidence (case reports), plus conflicting evidence (some negative studies with controls), plus weak and inconsistent regulatory warnings

	А	В	C	D	E	G	Н		J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q
	Drug	Type of Expe	Major Findings	References (PI	Level of Evic	Risk	Strength o	FDA Labeling	EMA Labe	a Japan Labe	l Canada Lab	Swiss Labe	WHO -1989	WHO - 20	Usage info	
1	<b>v</b>	T	<b>•</b>	-	<b>v</b>	· ·	<b>v</b>		· •	-	<b>•</b>	-	-	*		
179	Sulfamethoxazole	Clinical	Hemolysis with	5061461	Weak	Low-to-no	Optional	Caution - In	n/a	Caution	Caution	Caution	n/a	Definite R	Int Pham; WHO	
180			sulfamethoxazole	4116253				glucose-6-							essent; Top 300	
181			attributed to G6PD	4818663				phosphate							(clincalc)	
182			deficiency.	990860				dehydrogenase-								
183				2498187				deficient								
184				10157546				individuals,								
185				18349424				hemolysis may								
186				20065266				occur. This								
187				20732351				reaction is								
188				25713697				frequently								
189				28982343				dose-related								
190				46571				(see CLINICAL								
191				3495027				PHARMACOLOG								
192				16388034				Y and DOSAGE								
193				32648956				AND								

## Assigning risk level for Nitrofurantoin-optional

### HIGH RISK MEDICATIONS Avoid in patients with G6PD deficiency

- High-level evidence + rarely used drug; OR
- Moderate-level evidence + rarely used drug with regulatory warnings for patients with G6PD deficiency; OR
- Moderate-level evidence + strong biological mechanism for risk in patients with G6PD deficiency

MEDIUM RISK MEDICATIONS Use with caution in patients with G6PD deficiency

- Moderate-level evidence without a strong biological mechanism for risk in patients with G6PD deficiency; OR
- Weak evidence + rarely used drug; OR
- Weak evidence + strong regulatory warnings for patients with G6PD deficiency that may have hindered use in these patients; OR
- Weak evidence + strong biological mechanism for risk in patients with G6PD deficiency

LOW-TO-NO RISK MEDICATIONS Use without regard to G6PD phenotype

- Weak evidence + commonly used drug; OR
- Weak evidence + mild or inconsistent regulatory warnings for patients with G6PD deficiency

Nitrofurantoin: moderate evidence (case reports plus one study with controls and one supportive *ex vivo* study of RBCs) optional because evidence is barely moderate and drug is extremely widely used

	Drug	Type of Ex	e Major Findings	References (PN	Level of Evi	Risk	Strength o	FDA Labeling	EMA Labe Japan Labe	el Canada Lab	Swiss Labe	WHO -198	9 WHO - 20	Usage info	_
1		-	· ·	<b>•</b>	T	2	<b>v v</b>	<b>•</b>	<b>•</b>	· •		T	-		
49	Nitrofurantoin	Clinical	Hemolysis with nitrofurantoin	14100073	Moderate	Medium	Optional	Caution - Cases o		Caution	Caution (breastfe	Avoid	Definite F	common; WHO essential list	
50			attributed to G6PD	5897424							eding)				
51			deficiency.	1140951											
52				12659											
53				990860											
54				2089833											
55				24186886											
56				24789148											
57				28982343											

### Current risk assignments for G6PD drugs

### High or Medium risk

dapsone	High-risk	Moderate
methylene blue	High-risk	Moderate
pegloticase	High-risk	Strong
primaquine – standard dose	High-risk	Strong
rasburicase	High-risk	Strong
tafenoquine	High-risk	Strong
toluidine blue	High-risk	Moderate*
nitrofurantoin	Medium-risk	Optional
nrimanuina lau daca		
(0.75 mg/kg or 45 mg once		
weekly for 8 weeks) for		
Plasmodium vivax malaria	Medium-risk	Strong

### Low-to-no risk

	4-aminosalicylic acid	Low-to-no risk	Optional
	aspirin ≤ 1 g/day	Low-to-no risk	Moderate
	chloramphenicol	Low-to-no risk	Moderate
	chloroquine	Low-to-no risk	Moderate
	ciprofloxacin	Low-to-no risk	Optional
	dimercaprol	Low-to-no risk	Optional
	doxorubicin	Low-to-no risk	Optional
	furazolidone	Low-to-no risk	Optional
	glyburide	Low-to-no risk	Optional
	hydroxychloroquine	Low-to-no risk	Moderate
	mafenide	Low-to-no risk	Optional
	nalidixic acid	Low-to-no risk	Optional
	norfloxacin	Low-to-no risk	Optional
	ofloxacin	Low-to-no risk	Optional
	phenazopyridine	Low-to-no risk	Optional
	primaquine – single		
	low dose (0.25 mg/kg)		
	for Plasmodium		
	falciparum malaria	Low-to-no risk	Strong
	quinine	Low-to-no risk	Optional
	sulfadiazine	Low-to-no risk	Optional
	sulfadimidine	Low-to-no risk	Optional
	sulfamethoxazole	Low-to-no risk	Optional
	sulfanilamide	Low-to-no risk	Optional
	sulfasalazine	Low-to-no risk	Optional
	sulfisoxazole	Low-to-no risk	Optional
	tolbutamide	Low-to-no risk	Optional
	vitamin C	Low-to-no risk	Moderate
	vitamin K	Low-to-no risk	Moderate

### No recommendation

aspirin > 1 g/day
chlorpropamide
dabrafenib
gliclazide
glimepiride
glipizide
mepacrine
mesalazine
moxifloxacin
nicorandil
nitrofural
probenecid
Sodium nitrite
sulfacetamide
tolazamide
trametinib

Note: other sulfonylureas, sulfas, and quinolones are low-to-no risk

### Medications and Glucose-6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase Deficiency An Evidence-Based Review

Ilan Youngster,<sup>1</sup> Lidia Arcavi,<sup>2</sup> Renata Schechmaster,<sup>2</sup> Yulia Akayzen,<sup>3</sup> Hen Popliski,<sup>3</sup> Janua Shimonon<sup>3</sup> Szetlana Reis<sup>3</sup> and Matitiahu Berkozitch<sup>1</sup>

#### Table II. Commonly used drugs that should be avoided in patients with glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency

Dapsone

Methylthioninium chloride (methylene blue)

Nitrofurantoin

Phenazopyridine

Primaquine

Rasburicase

Tolonium chloride (toluidine blue)

Table III. Drugs that were considered unsafe by at least one source, but according to our review can probably be given safely in normal therapeutic doses to glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase-deficient patients

Paracetamol (acetaminophen) Aspirin (acetylsalicylic acid) Aminophenazone Antipyrine Ascorbic acid (vitamin C) Chloramphenicol Chloroguine Ciprofloxacin Dipyrone (metamizole) Succimer (dimercaptosuccinic acid) Furazolidone Glibenclamide (glyburide) Isoniazid Isosorbide dinitrate Norfloxacin Nalidixic acid Mepacrine Quinine Sulfacetamide Sulfanilamide Sulfasalazine Sulfisoxazole Thiazosulfone Cotrimoxazole (trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole) CPIC Guidelines Genes-Drugs Alleles Publications Meetings Resources Working Groups Members Contact

#### Genes-Drugs

CPIC assigns CPIC levels to genes/drugs with (1) <u>PharmGKB Clinical Annotation Levels of Evidence</u> of 1A, 1B, 2A and 2B, or (2) a <u>PharmGKB PGx level</u> for FDA-approved drug labels of "actionable pgx", "genetic testing recommended", or "genetic testing required", or (3) based on nomination to CPIC for consideration.

The levels (A, B, C, and D) assigned are subject to change; only those gene/drug pairs that have been the subject of guidelines have had sufficient in-depth review of evidence to provide definitive CPIC level assignments.

### Need to update gene/drug pair page

40	CEPD	rasburicase	Guidetine	A	14	Testing required	<ul> <li><u>24787449</u></li> </ul>
58	G6PD	tafenoquine		A		Testing required	
82	G6PD	chloramphenicol		н	3		
83	C6PD	diforpropianide		н		Actionable PGx	
84	GSPD	ciprofloxacin		н	4		
87	GEPD	dapsone		н	18	Actionable PGs	
-91	GEPD	dimercaprol		в	3		
96	GEPD	erythromycin		в			
98	G6PD	gilbendemide		в	3	Actionable PGa	
99	C6PD	glimepinde		н		Actionable PGs	
100	GEPD	ghpuide		в		Actionable PGa	
101	C6PD	hydroxychloroquine		в		Actionable PGa	
105	GEPD	levofloxacm		в			
106	GEPD	maferide		н		Actionable PGs	
107	G6PD	mefloquine		в	3		
108	G6PD	mesalazine		в			
110	G6PD	methylene blue		В	3	Actionable PGs	
112	GEPD	monifosacin		н			
114	GEPD	nafidinic acid		н		Actionable PGs	
116	GEPD	nitrofurantoin		В	3	Actionable PGx	
117	G6PD	norflosacin		в		Actionable PGa	
120	G6PD	pegloticase		н	3	Testing required	
121	G6PD	phenecopyridine		в	3		
125	G6PD	primaquarie		в	3	Testing	

116	6690	nitrofurantoin	ы	3	Actionable PGx
117	G6PD	norfloxacin	В		Actionable PGs
120	G6PD	pegloticase	н	3	Testing required
121	G6PD	phanacopyridine	В	3	
125	G6PD	tranadrine	п	3	Testing required
125	G6PD	proberezcid	В		Actionable PGa
129	6690	quinine	н		Actionable PGs
135	G6PD	sodium nitrita	В		Actionable PGa
136	6690	sulfacatarrida	н		
137	6690	suffadiacine	В		Actionable PGx
138	6670	sulfamethosazole / trimathoprim	Н	3	Actionable PGs
139	G6PD	sulfasalazine	В	4	Actionable PGx
140	C6PD	suffisionapple	н		
155	G6PD	date afoniti	B/C		Actionable PGa
161	G6PD	lidocaine	E/C		
179	G6PD	diloroquine	£	3	Actionable PGs
345	6670	vlamn :	C		

# G6PD guideline prescribing recommendations

### Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) Guidelines for Rasburicase Therapy in the Context of *G6PD* Deficiency Genotype

MV Relling<sup>1</sup>, EM McDonagh<sup>2</sup>, T Chang<sup>3</sup>, KE Caudle<sup>1</sup>, HL McLeod<sup>4</sup>, CE Haidar<sup>1</sup>, T Klein<sup>2</sup> and L Luzzatto<sup>5</sup>

• <i>G6PD</i> phenotype	Implications for phenotypic measures	Dosing recommendations for rasburicase	Classification of recommendations <sup>a</sup>
Normal <sup>b</sup>	Low or reduced risk of hemolytic anemia	No reason to withhold rasburicase based on G6PD status <sup>b</sup>	Strong
Deficient or deficient with CNSHA	At risk of acute hemolytic anemia	Rasburicase is contraindicated; alternatives include allopurinol <sup>c</sup>	Strong
Variable <sup>b</sup>	Unknown risk of hemolytic anemia	To ascertain that G6PD status is normal, enzyme activity must be measured; alternatives include allopurinol <sup>c</sup>	Moderate

### Table 2 Recommended therapeutic use of rasburicase in relation to G6PD phenotype

CNSHA, chronic nonspherocytic hemolytic anemia.

<sup>a</sup>Rating scheme described in **Supplementary Material** online (see Strength of Recommendations). <sup>b</sup>A negative or inconclusive genetic test cannot be assumed to indicate normal G6PD phenotype; an enzyme activity test is needed to assign G6PD phenotype in such cases. <sup>c</sup>Allopurinol is associated with severe cutaneous reactions in the rare carriers of the *HLA-B\*58:01* allele.<sup>37</sup>

### Table 3. Recommended therapeutic use of high risk drugs\* in relation to G6PD phenotype

Predicted G6PD phenotype based on genotype	Implications for phenotypic measures	Therapeutic recommendations for high risk drugs	Classification of recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Considerations
Normal	Low risk of acute hemolytic anemia	No reason to avoid a high risk drug based on G6PD status	Strong	
Deficient	High risk of acute hemolytic anemia	Avoid use of high risk drug	See Table 2 for drug specific strength of recommendation	
Deficient with CNSHA	High risk of acute on chronic hemolysis	Avoid use of high risk drug	Strong	Although there are not published data in individuals with the G6PD Deficient with CNSHA phenotype, there is a strong rationale to avoid these drugs based on evidence in G6PD Deficient individuals.
Variable	Unknown risk of acute hemolytic anemia	To ascertain G6PD status, enzyme activity must be measured. Drug use should be guided per the recommendations based on the activity-based phenotype.	Moderate	Due to X-linked mosaicism, individuals with more than one X chromosome (e.g., females, individuals with Klinefelter syndrome) and heterozygous for one nondeficient (class IV) and one deficient (class I–III) allele may display a normal or a deficient phenotype; an enzyme activity test is needed to assign G6PD phenotype in such cases.
Indeterminate	Unknown risk of acute hemolytic anemia	To ascertain G6PD status, enzyme activity must be measured. Drug use should be guided per the recommendations based on the activity-based phenotype.	Moderate	

Drugs are classified as high, medium, or low-toto risk for acute hemolytic anemia based on evidence review and based on assumptions of formal dosing regimens. Drug-induced hemolysis in G6PD deficiency is generally related to drug losage (the higher the dose, the more the exidative stress and the more likely anemia). Drugs hat are commonly given at high and low dosages e.g., primaquine and aspirin) have separate ecommendations for high vs. low dosage.

#### **Table 4.** Recommended therapeutic use of medium risk drugs\* in relation to G6PD phenotype

Predicted G6PD	Implications for	Therapeutic recommendations for medium	Classification of	Considerations
phenotype based on	phenotypic measures	risk drugs	recommendations <sup>a</sup>	
genotype				
Normal	Low risk of acute	No reason to avoid a medium risk drug based	Strong	
	hemolytic anemia	on G6PD status		
Deficient	Medium risk of acute	Use medium risk drug at standard doses with	Drug-dependent	Close monitoring may be more
	hemolytic anemia	caution with close monitoring for anemia		important at higher or more
				chronic dosage schedules, and in
				the setting of infection or other
				oxidative stress including
				concomitant use of medium and
				low risk drugs.
Deficient with CNSHA	High risk of acute on	Avoid medium risk drugs	Moderate	There are insufficient data in
	chronic hemolysis			patients with the G6PD Deficient
				with CNSHA phenotype to rate as
				"strong," but all medium risk drugs
				should be avoided in these rare
				patients due to the underlying
				pathophysiology that confers high
				risk for acute on chronic hemolysis.
Variable	Unknown risk of	If deemed necessary to ascertain G6PD status,	Moderate	Due to X-linked mosaicism,
	acute hemolytic	enzyme activity must be measured. Drug use		individuals with more than one X
	anemia	should be guided per the recommendations		chromosome (e.g., females,
		based on the activity-based phenotype.		individuals with Klinefelter
				syndrome) and heterozygous for
				one nondeficient (class IV) and one
				deficient (class I–III) allele may
				display a normal or a deficient
				phenotype; an enzyme activity test
				is needed to assign G6PD
				phenotype in such cases.
Indeterminate	Unknown risk of	If deemed necessary to ascertain G6PD status,	Moderate	
	acute hemolytic	enzyme activity must be measured. Drug use		
	anemia	should be guided per the recommendations		
		based on the activity-based phenotype.		

\*Drugs are classified as high, medium, or low-tono risk for acute hemolytic anemia based on evidence review and based on assumptions of normal dosing regimens. Drug-induced hemolysis in G6PD deficiency is generally related to drug dosage (the higher the dose, the more the oxidative stress and the more likely anemia). Drugs that are commonly given at high and low dosages (e.g., primaquine and aspirin) have separate recommendations for high vs. low dosage.

Predicted G6PD phenotype based on genotype	Implications for phenotypic measures	Therapeutic recommendations	Classificatio n of recommend ations <sup>a</sup>	Considerations
Normal	Low risk of acute hemolytic anemia	No reason to avoid primaquine based on G6PD status	Strong	
Deficient	High risk of acute hemolytic anemia with standard doses used for a prolonged duration of therapy	Avoid primaquine, except in the following cases where established expert consensus guidelines for the treatment of malaria should be followed: (1) Treating <i>P. falciparum</i> malaria by using primaquine as a gametocytocide: 0.25 mg/kg x1 dose (WHO); and (2) treating <i>Plasmodium vivax</i> or <i>P. ovale</i> malaria for radical cure of liver-stage infections: 0.75 mg/kg once weekly x8 weeks (WHO) or 45 mg once weekly x8 weeks (CDC). If primaquine is used, monitor closely for hemolysis.	Strong	Dosing recommendations for primaquine in patients with G6PD deficiency are derived from the malaria treatment guidelines issued by the World Health Organization and the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
Deficient with CNSHA	High risk of acute on chronic hemolysis	Avoid primaquine	Strong	The strength of evidence among patients with the G6PD Deficient phenotype provides strong rationale to also avoid primaquine in the setting of the more severe G6PD Deficient with CNSHA phenotype.
Variable	Unknown risk of acute hemolytic anemia	To ascertain G6PD status, enzyme activity must be measured. Drug use should be guided per the recommendations based on the activity-based phenotype.	Moderate	Due to X-linked mosaicism, individuals with more than one X chromosome (e.g., females, individuals with Klinefelter syndrome) and heterozygous for one nondeficient (class IV) and one deficient (class I–III) allele may display a normal or a deficient phenotype; an enzyme activity test is needed to assign G6PD phenotype in such cases.
Indeterminate	Unknown risk of acute hemolytic anemia	To ascertain G6PD status, enzyme activity must be measured. Drug use should be guided per the recommendations based on the activity-based phenotype.	Moderate	

Table 6. Recommended therapeutic use of primaquine in relation to G6PD phenotype

\*Drugs are classified as high, medium, or low-tono risk for acute hemolytic anemia based on evidence review and based on assumptions of normal dosing regimens. Drug-induced hemolysis in G6PD deficiency is generally related to drug dosage (the higher the dose, the more the oxidative stress and the more likely anemia). Drugs that are commonly given at high and low dosages (e.g., primaquine and aspirin) have separate recommendations for high vs. low dosage.

### Current risk assignments for G6PD drugs; primaquine is dose-dependent

### High or Medium risk

dapsone	High-risk	Moderate
methylene blue	High-risk	Moderate
pegloticase	High-risk	Strong
primaquine – standard dose	High-risk	Strong
rasburicase	High-risk	Strong
tafenoquine	High-risk	Strong
toluidine blue	High-risk	Moderate*
nitrofurantoin	Medium-risk	Optional
primaquine – low dose (0.75 mg/kg or 45 mg once weekly for 8 weeks) for	Madium risk	Chuona
Plasmoulum vivax malaria	iviedium-risk	Strong

### Low-to-no risk

4-aminosalicylic acid	Low-to-no risk	Optional
aspirin ≤ 1 g/day	Low-to-no risk	Moderate
chloramphenicol	Low-to-no risk	Moderate
chloroquine	Low-to-no risk	Moderate
ciprofloxacin	Low-to-no risk	Optional
dimercaprol	Low-to-no risk	Optional
doxorubicin	Low-to-no risk	Optional
furazolidone	Low-to-no risk	Optional
glyburide	Low-to-no risk	Optional
hydroxychloroquine	Low-to-no risk	Moderate
mafenide	Low-to-no risk	Optional
nalidixic acid	Low-to-no risk	Optional
norfloxacin	Low-to-no risk	Optional
ofloxacin	Low-to-no risk	Optional
phenazopyridine	Low-to-no risk	Optional
primaquine – single		
low dose (0.25 mg/kg)		
for Plasmodium		
falciparum malaria	Low-to-no risk	Strong
quinine	Low-to-no risk	Optional
sulfadiazine	Low-to-no risk	Optional
sulfadimidine	Low-to-no risk	Optional
sulfamethoxazole	Low-to-no risk	Optional
sulfanilamide	Low-to-no risk	Optional
sulfasalazine	Low-to-no risk	Optional
sulfisoxazole	Low-to-no risk	Optional
tolbutamide	Low-to-no risk	Optional
vitamin C	Low-to-no risk	Moderate
vitamin K	Low-to-no risk	Moderate

### No recommendation

aspirin > 1 g/day
chlorpropamide
dabrafenib
gliclazide
glimepiride
glipizide
mepacrine
mesalazine
moxifloxacin
nicorandil
nitrofural
probenecid
sulfacetamide
tolazamide
trametinib

Note: other sulfonylureas, sulfas, and quinolones are low-to-no risk

Predicted G6PD phenotype based on genotype	Implications for phenotypic measures	Therapeutic recommendations for low-to-no risk drugs	Classification of recommendation s <sup>a</sup>	Considerations
Normal	Low-to-no risk of acute hemolytic anemia	No reason to avoid a low-to-no risk drug based on G6PD status.	Strong	
Deficient	Low-to-no risk of acute hemolytic anemia	No reason to avoid a low-to-no risk drug based on G6PD status at standard doses.	See Table 2	Although low-to-no risk drugs are not known to cause a higher risk of AHA in G6PD deficient than G6PD normal patients, G6PD deficient patients may be at higher risk of AHA due to oxidative stress from any cause, and closer monitoring may be indicated.
Deficient with CNSHA	High risk of acute on chronic hemolysis	Use all drugs cautiously in this group; if used, close monitoring for anemia is recommended.	Optional	There are insufficient data in patients with the G6PD Deficient with CNSHA phenotype to rate as "moderate," but all drugs should be used cautiously in these rare patients due to the underlying pathophysiology that confers high risk for acute on chronic hemolysis.
Variable	Low-to-no risk of acute hemolytic anemia	No reason to avoid a low-to-no risk drug based on G6PD status at standard doses.	Moderate	Due to X-linked mosaicism, individuals with more than one X chromosome (e.g., females, individuals with Klinefelter syndrome) and heterozygous for one nondeficient (class IV) and one deficient (class I–III) allele may display a normal or a deficient phenotype; an enzyme activity test is needed to assign G6PD phenotype in such cases.
Indeterminate	In the absence of signs and symptoms of CNSHA, low-to-no risk of acute hemolytic anemia	No reason to avoid a low-to-no risk drug based on G6PD status at standard doses.	Moderate	

**Table 5.** Recommended therapeutic use of low-to-no risk drugs\* in relation to G6PD phenotype

\*Drugs are classified as high, medium, or low-tono risk for acute hemolytic anemia based on evidence review and based on assumptions of normal dosing regimens. Drug-induced hemolysis in G6PD deficiency is generally related to drug dosage (the higher the dose, the more the oxidative stress and the more likely anemia). Drugs that are commonly given at high and low dosages (e.g., primaquine and aspirin) have separate recommendations for high vs. low dosage.

## CDS alert language

• To be done



# G6PD Deficiency Avoid Alert

### \* AVOID

This patient has an active entry on the problem list for G6PD deficiency. Rasburicase is likely to cause hemolytic anemia in patients with G6PD deficiency and should be avoided. If this medication is the preferred agent, monitor the patient for signs of hemolysis including decreased hemoglobin, methemoglobinemia, hyperbilirubinemia, and abdominal pain. Please consult a clinical pharmacist or click on Add'1 info for more information.

#### Alert Action

- Cancel Rasburicase order
- Continue Rasburicase order- benefit outweighterisk

### Avoid

- Dapsone
- Methylene Blue
- Pegloticase
- Primaquine std does
- Rasburicase
- Tafenoquine
- Toluidine blue

History

Add'l int

OK

# R

# **G6PD Deficiency Caution Alert**



This patient has an active entry on the problem list for G6PD deficiency. Nitrofurantoin MAY cause hemolytic anemia in patients with G6PD deficiency and should be used with caution. If this medication is used, monitor the patient for signs of here only is including decreased hemoglobin, methemoglobing on the patient for signs of here only is pharmacist or click on Add'l info for more formation.

# Use with caution

Nitrofurantoin

OK

Primaquine—low dose

### Alert Action

- Cancel Nitrofurantoin or
- Continue Nitrofuranto, order- b refit utweighs risk



### Add'l info



# Pre G6PD Result Alert

• Alert is presented to prescriber when ordering a medication on the Avoid list and patient does not have a *G6PD* genotype or enzyme activity result

*G6PD*
You are attempting to place an order for Dapsone on a patient who has unknown G6PD status. The patient may be at increased
risk of developing hemolytic anemia if G6PD deficient aa
recommended to assess for G6PD deficiency price to prefcribing
pharmacist or click on Add'l info for more in the matio
Cancel Dapsone order
O Continue Dapsက တယ့္ hene، တutwe၊္ ာris
Add Order for:
Glucose-6-PD Quant, Vive -> 7 , Collect Now, Blood, ONCE
Add1 info

### Existing G6PD allele definition table

	Clipboard	L2	Font	العام Alignment	Number	Гы		Styles		Cells	Editing	Ideas Sensitivity	^
B1	•	$\times \checkmark f_x$	9/26/2018										~
		А		В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	C	
1		GENE:G6PD		9/26/2018									
2				NM 001042351.2	c.25C>T	c.34G>T	c.40G>A	c.95A>G	c.99A>G	c.103 105delATC	c.110T>C	c.130G>A	
3				Effect on protein (c)	n.R9W	p.V12I	p.G14R	p.H328	p.133M	p.I35del	p.M37T	n.444T	
-				Position at NC 000023 11 (Homo saniens		F	F	F	F	P	F		
				- osition at NC_000025.11 (Homo sapiens	- 1545461010- 4	- 1545461000- 4	- 154546116C+T	- 154546061T+ C	- 1545460577.0		- 1545460464+0	- 1545261600-5	-
4				chromosome X, GRCh36.p2)	g.154546131G>A	g.154540122C>A	g.154540110C>1	g.1545400011>C	g.1545460571>C	g.154546051_154546053delGA1	g.154540040A>G	g.154536169C>1	<u></u>
				Position at NG_009015.2 (G6PD									
				RefSeqGene; reverse relative to									
5				chromosome)	g.6442C>T	g.6451G>T	g.6457G>A	g.6512A>G	g.6516A>G	g.6520_6522delATC	g.6527T>C	g.16404G>A	
6				rsID				rs137852340		rs137852338			
7	G6PD variant			WHO Class/Likely Phenotype									
8	B (wildtype)			IV/Normal	G	с	с	т	т	GAT	Δ	с	
9	No name			Not reported/Unknown	A	-	_						
10	Sinnai			III/Deficient		Α							_
11	Lages			III/Deficient			Т						
12	Gaohe			III/Deficient				С					
13	Honiara			I/Deficient with CNSHA					С				_
14	Sunderland			I/Deficient with CNSHA						delGAT	-		_
15	Gidra			Not reported/Unknown							G		-
16	Rignano			III/Deficient								T	_
10	Orissa			III/Deficient									_
10	Kambos			III/Deficient									-
20	Kozukata			I/Deficient with CNSHA									-
21	Kamogawa			II/Deficient									-
22	Palestrina			III/Deficient									
23	Metaponto			III/Deficient									_
24	Costanzo			II/Deficient									
25	Amsterdam			I/Deficient with CNSHA									
26	Amazonia			II/Deficient									_
27	Musashino			III/Deficient									_
28	Songklanagarind	1		II/Deficient									
29	Asani			III/Deficient									-
21	A- 202A 276C			II/Deficient									-
32	2026 > 3764 > 0	5 1264C>G		I/Deficient with CNSHA									-
33	Namouru			II/Deficient									-
34	Murcia Oristano			III/Deficient									
35	Swansea			I/Deficient with CNSHA									
36	Ube Konan			III/Deficient									
37	Lagosanto			III/Deficient									
38	Guangzhou			III/Deficient									
39	Urayasu			I/Deficient with CNSHA									
40	Ciorra Loopo			Not reported/Uplenown									<u>_</u> الـ

Malaria Policy Advisory Committee Meeting 2–4 October 2019, Geneva, Switzerland Background document for Session 7



### Updating the WHO G6PD classification of variants and the International Classification of Diseases, 11th Revision (ICD-11)

October 2019

## G6PD guideline authors

Roseann Gammal, Munir Pirmohamed, Andrew Somogyi, Sarah Morris, Christine Formea, Amanda Elchynski, Kazeem Oshikoya, Ellen M. McDonagh, Howard L. McLeod, Cyrine E. Haidar, Michelle Carrillo, Teri Klein, Kelly E. Caudle, Mary V. Relling

WHO consultants: Andrea Bosman, Jane Cunningham, Lucio Luzzatto

### Question slides

specifications for point-of-care G6PD tests to guide use of 8-aminoquinolines for radical cure of P. vivax.

### **Objectives**

- i) Revise the most widely used classification of G6PD variants.
- ii) Discuss requirements for defining new variants.
- iii) Propose new categorization of G6PD for ICD-11, including classification of G6PD variants and clinical manifestations.

### References

0

- 1. Standardization of procedures for the study of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, WHO Technical Report Series, no. 366. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1967 (https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/40660/WHO TRS 366.pdf?sequence=1&isAll owed=y).
- 2. Yoshida A, Beutler E, Motulsky AG. Human glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase variants. Bull World Health Organ. 1971;45(2):243–53.
- 3. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency. WHO Working Group. Bull World Health Organ. 1989;67:601-11.
- 4. Point-of-care G6PD testing to support safe use of primaguine for the treatment of vivax malaria. ト

e

0

Ľ

hef

#### Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) Guidelines for Rasburicase Therapy in the Context of *G6PD* Deficiency Genotype

MV Relling<sup>1</sup>, EM McDonagh<sup>2</sup>, T Chang<sup>3</sup>, KE Caudle<sup>1</sup>, HL McLeod<sup>4</sup>, CE Haidar<sup>1</sup>, T Klein<sup>2</sup> and

### Existing G6PD allele frequency table

Supplemental Table S4. Frequencies of *G6PD* variants<sup>1</sup> available with commercial testing in major race/ethnic groups<sup>2</sup>

Allele	WHO	dbSNP	cDNA	All		Caucasian		South American			African			Asian				
	Class <sup>3</sup>	rsID <sup>4</sup>	substitution <sup>5</sup>															
				Affy Hapmap <sup>6</sup>	EVS	1000 Genomes*	Affy Hapmap <sup>4</sup>	EVS	1000 Genomes <sup>a</sup>	Affy Hapmap <sup>6</sup>	EVS	1000 Genomes <sup>a</sup>	Affy Hapmap <sup>6</sup>	EVS7	1000 Genomes <sup>8</sup>	Affy Hapmap <sup>4</sup>	EVS7	1000 Genomes <sup>8</sup>
A	III-IV	rs1050829	376A>G	N/A	0.113	0.081	0	0.0595	0.005	0.017	N/A	0.036	0.345	0.312	0.324	0	N/A	0
A-	Ξ	rs1050828 rs1050829	202G=A, 376A=G	N/A	0.0425	N/A	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.117	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asahi	Ξ	rs1050828	202G⇔A			0.043			0			0.022			0.17			0
Mediterranean (also known as Dallas, Panama, Sassari)	Π	rs5030868	563C>T	N/A	0.0663	N/A	0	0.0743	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	0	0,0522	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Canton (also known as Taiwan- Hakka, Gifu- like, Agrigento- like)	п	m72554665	1376G⊃T (1376G⊃C is Cosenza variant)	N/A	N/A	T= 0.001	0.0	N/A	0.0	0	N/A	0.0	0	N/A	0.0	0.017	N/A	T = 0.002
Orissa	I	rs78478128	131C=G	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Kalyan- Kerala	Ξ	rs137852339	949G⇔A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Chatham	Π	n 5030869	1003G⇒A	N/A	0.0095	N/A	N/A	0.0149	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<sup>1</sup> Average allel	e frequenc	ies are report	ed based on the a	ctual nun	nbers of	subjects r	with each	allele r	eported in	multiple	studie	5						

<sup>2</sup>Grouped according to major race/ethnic groups for studies as defined in Supplemental Table S5

<sup>3</sup>From (13); the phenotype associated with each variant according to WHO classification

<sup>4</sup>National Center for Biotechnology Information dbSNP database. <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/</u>

<sup>5</sup>cDNA reference sequence; NM\_001042351.1:c., alleles represented are on the negative chromosomal strand. The G6PD gene is on the negative chromosomal strand, alleles on

PharmGKB (www.pharmgkb.org) are complemented to the plus chromosomal strand for standardization.

<sup>6</sup>Affymetrix Hapmap database. <u>http://www.affymetrix.com/</u>

<sup>7</sup>National Heart Lung and Blood Institute Exome Variant Server database. <u>http://evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/</u>

<sup>8</sup>1000 Genomes Project database. <u>http://browser.1000genomes.org/index.html</u>

N/A not available.

A list of medications to avoid in patients with G6PD deficiency has not been universally adopted

- Mixed evidence has led to different conclusions and recommendations
- Lack of consensus possibly due to

   medication risk level
  - -confounding factors
    - Infection

Name	Molecular Formula	Risk Level (note)	For Whom	Note
Glimepiride	C <sub>24</sub> H <sub>34</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>5</sub> S	High	All	
Glipizide	C <sub>21</sub> H <sub>27</sub> N <sub>5</sub> O <sub>4</sub> S	High	All	
Glucosulfone (glucosulfone sodium)	C <sub>24</sub> H <sub>34</sub> N <sub>2</sub> Na <sub>2</sub> O <sub>18</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	High	All	
Hydroxychloroquine	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>26</sub> CIN <sub>3</sub> O	High	All	
Ibuprofen	CH3H18O2	Medium	All	
Indigofera Tinctoria	-	Medium	All	
Isobutyl Nitrite	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>9</sub> N O <sub>2</sub>	High	Medit., Asia	
Isoniazid	С <sub>б</sub> Н <sub>7</sub> N <sub>3</sub> О	Medium	All	
Lawsone Inermis	-	Medium	All	
Levodopa	C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>11</sub> NO <sub>4</sub>	Medium	All	
Levofloxacin	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>20</sub> FN <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	High	Medit., Asia	
Lomefloxacin	C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>19</sub> F <sub>2</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	High	All	
Mefloquine	C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>16</sub> F <sub>6</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O	High	All	
Menadiol Sodium Sulfate (Vitamin k4 sodium sulfate)	C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>8</sub> Na <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	High	All	Note
Menadione (menaphtone)	C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>8</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	High	All	Note
I I 2 3 4 5 6	7 8 9 10 🕨 🕨	Page size: 15 🔻		143 items in 10 pages

### 16 G6PD Class I-III variants were observed in American children with ALL (n= 2489 non-whites, 3836 whites) with G6PD sequencing All but one (D282H) are included on PharmacoScan

					females	female	5		females	females			
					(hom),	(het),	males	,	(hom) <i>,</i>	(het) <i>,</i>			
	WHO_clas			males,	non-	non-	non-		non-	non-		non-	
AAChange.refGe	ne <mark>s</mark>	final.cat	PharmacoScan	white	white	white	white		white	white		white	white
p.R454C	II	classI-III	Union,Maewo, Chinese-2, Kalo	2		0	3	0		0	0	0.002009	0
p.V291M	II	classI-III	Viangchan, Jammu	2	<u>.</u>	0	1	0		0	0	0.001205	0
p.H155D	II	classI-III	Acrokorinthos	C	)	0	1	0		0	0	0.000402	0
p.R136C	II.	classI-III	Valladolid	C	)	0	1	0		0	0	0.000402	0
p.R439P	II.	classI-III	Pawnee	C	)	0	0	0		0	1	0	0.000261
p.S188F	II	classI-III	Mediterranean, Dallas, Panama, Sassari, Cagliari, Birmingham	C	)	0	0	1		0	0	0	0.000261
p.V68M	III	classI-III	Asahi, A-	50		3	73	1		1	2	0.050623	0.001043
p.L323P	III	classI-III	A- (968), Betica,Selma, Guantanamo	Z	ļ	0	6	0		0	0	0.004018	0
p.E156K	III	classI-III	ilesha	1		0	2	0		0	0	0.001205	0
p.E317K	III	classI-III	Kalyan-Kerala, Jamnaga, Rohini	C	)	0	1	0		0	1	0.000402	0.000261
<mark>p.D282H</mark>	III	<mark>classI-III</mark>	Not Interrogated (Seattle, Lodi, Modena, Ferrara II, Athens-like)	C	)	<mark>0</mark>	<mark>1</mark>	1		<mark>0</mark>	<mark>3</mark>	<mark>0.000402</mark>	<mark>0.001043</mark>
p.L235F	III	classI-III	Nanning	C	)	0	1	0		0	0	0.000402	0
p.G163S	III	classI-III	Mahidol	1		0	0	0		0	0	0.000402	0
p.L128R	III	classI-III	Salerno Pyrgos	1		0	0	1		0	0	0.000402	0.000261
p.R227Q	III	classI-III	Mexico City	C	)	0	0	0		0	1	0	0.000261
p.I48T	III	classI-III	Aures	C	)	0	0	0		0	1	0	0.000261
p.N126D	IV	classIV	A	138	3 2	.5 1	31	8		2	8	0.11812	0.004692
p.D350H	IV	classIV	Mirad'Aire	1		0	6	0		0	0	0.002812	0
p.M159I	NA	VUS	Not Interrogated	1		0	1	0		0	1	0.000804	0.000261
p.G316D	NA	VUS	Not Interrogated	C	)	0	1	0		0	1	0.000402	0.000261
p.D251N	NA	VUS	Not Interrogated	C	)	0	1	0		0	0	0.000402	0
p.D194E	NA	VUS	Not Interrogated	C	)	0	1	2		0	3	0.000402	0.001303
p.V303G	NA	VUS	Not Interrogated	C	)	0	0	0		0	1	0	0.000261

Robinson KM, et al. Pharmacogenomics J. 2019;19(3):305-14.



> 190
variants
described,
but most
are very
rare

### Frequency of G6PD deficient males

< 0.5%	7.0 - 9.9%%
0.5 - 2.9%%	10.0 - 14.9%%
3.0 - 6.9%%	15.0 - 126.0%%







# Most common Class I-III variant observed was A- (we found in 8.7% of blacks)



Plus we found one Pawnee variant (< 1 in 100,000 Exac)

© 1992 Oxford University Press



# Both mutations in G6PD A – are necessary to produce the G6PD deficient phenotype

Margaret Town, Jose M.Bautista, Philip J.Mason and Lucio Luzzatto\*

Department of Haematology, Royal Postgraduate Medical School, Hammersmith Hospital, London W12 ONN, UK

![](_page_49_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Primaquine Phosphate

#### Oral route

HIV infection - Pneumocystis pneumonia

#### Malaria, Prevention of relapse; Adjunct

#### Malaria; Prophylaxis

#### HIV infection - Pneumocystis pneumonia

#### 1) Guideline Dosage

a) Usual dosage (mild to moderate): 30 mg (base) orally once daily for 21 days in combination with clindamycin 450 mg every 6 hours to 600 mg every 8 hours orally [7]

b) Usual dosage (moderate to severe): 30 mg (base) orally once daily for 21 days in combination with clindamycin 450 mg every 6 hours to 600 mg every 8 hours orally or clindamycin 600 mg every 6 hours to 900 mg every 8 hours IV [7]

See Drug Consult reference: Prevention and Treatment of Pneumocystis Jiroveci Pneumonia (PCP) in HIV-Infected Persons - CDC/NIH/IDSA Guidelines

#### Malaria, Prevention of relapse; Adjunct

1) Guideline Dosing

a) Dosage: 52.6 mg orally for 14 days; use as an adjunct to an appropriate primary (ie, blood-stage) treatment agent, such as chloroquine, in the treatment of uncomplicated Plasmodium vivax or P ovale malaria [2][1]

b) Alternate dosage: 78.9 mg orally once weekly for 8 weeks for patients who are borderline glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficient; consultation with an infectious disease specialist is advised if the alternative regimen is used in persons who are G6PD deficient [2][1]

#### 2) FDA Dosing

a) Usual dosage: 26.3 mg orally once daily for 14 days [3].

b) Concomitant medications: Use in combination with a course of chloroquine phosphate [3]

See Drug Consult reference: Malaria -- CDC Recommendations for United States Residents

#### Malaria; Prophylaxis

1) Prophylaxis For Short-Duration Travel

a) For primary prophylaxis in adults with short-duration travel to areas with primarily Plasmodium vivax malaria, the CDC recommends primaquine phosphate at a dose of 52.6 mg (2 tablets) orally daily beginning 1 to 2 days prior to travel to the malarious area, continued daily at the same time each during the stay in the malarious area, and then for 7 days after departure from the area [12].

2) Presumptive Anti-relapse Therapy

a) For presumptive anti-relapse therapy, the CDC recommends that adults receive a dose of primaquine phosphate 52.6 mg (2 tablets) orally daily for 14 days after departure from the malarious area. Primaquine is given with the primary prophylactic medication. When the primary therapy is chloroquine, doxycycline, or mefloquine, give primaquine during the last 2 weeks of prophylaxis. If the primary agent is atovaquone/proguanil, give primaquine during the final 7 days of atovaquone/proguanil therapy, and then continue primaquine for an additional 7 days. If overlap of primaquine with the primary medication is not possible, primaquine may still be given after primary prophylaxis is complete. Presumptive anti-relapse therapy is not required in persons who received primaquine for primary prophylaxis [12][12].

See Drug Consult reference: Malaria -- CDC Recommendations for United States Residents

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Policy brief on single-dose primaquine as a gametocytocide in *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria

### January 2015

### Need for testing for G6PD deficiency before single low-dose primaquine administration

 Clinically significant haemolysis is not expected to occur in either G6PD-normal or -deficient individuals given a single 15-mg adult dose (0.25 mg base/kg) of primaquine. Therefore, there is no need for systematic testing for G6PD deficiency before administering a single dose of 0.25 mg primaquine base per kg body weight. **BMC** Infectious Disea

#### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

**Open Acce** 

Check

### The tolerability of single low dose primaquine in glucose-6-phosphate deficient and normal falciparum-infected Cambodians

Lek Dysoley<sup>1,2</sup>, Saorin Kim<sup>3</sup>, Sergio Lopes<sup>4</sup>, Nimol Khim<sup>3</sup>, Steven Bjorges<sup>5</sup>, Samphornarann Top<sup>5</sup>, Chea Huch<sup>1</sup>, Huy Rekol<sup>1</sup>, Nelli Westercamp<sup>6</sup>, Mark M. Fukuda<sup>7</sup>, Jimee Hwang<sup>8</sup>, Arantxa Roca-Feltrer<sup>4</sup>, Mavuto Mukaka<sup>9,10</sup>, Didier Menard<sup>3,11†</sup> and Walter R. Taylor<sup>9,10\*†</sup>

0.25 mg base/kg single dose 49 nl pts; 12 deficient Hb: p = 0.04

![](_page_52_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_0.jpeg)

**Cochrane** Database of Systematic Reviews

Primaquine at alternative dosing schedules for preventing relapse in people with *Plasmodium vivax* malaria (Review)

![](_page_54_Figure_0.jpeg)

> 190
variants
described,
but most
are very
rare

### Frequency of G6PD deficient males

< 0.5%	7.0 - 9.9%%
0.5 - 2.9%%	10.0 - 14.9%%
3.0 - 6.9%%	15.0 - 126.0%%

![](_page_54_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_6.jpeg)

© 1992 Oxford University Press

![](_page_55_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Both mutations in G6PD A – are necessary to produce the G6PD deficient phenotype

Margaret Town, Jose M.Bautista, Philip J.Mason and Lucio Luzzatto\*

Department of Haematology, Royal Postgraduate Medical School, Hammersmith Hospital, London W12 ONN, UK

![](_page_55_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_0.jpeg)

Robinson KM, et al. Pharmacogenomics J. 2019;19(3):305-14.

### 16 G6PD Class I-III variants were observed in American children with ALL (n= 2489 non-whites, 3836 whites) with G6PD sequencing All but one (D282H) are included on PharmacoScan

					females	female	5		females	females			
					(hom),	(het),	males	,	(hom) <i>,</i>	(het) <i>,</i>			
	WHO_clas			males,	non-	non-	non-		non-	non-		non-	
AAChange.refGe	ne <mark>s</mark>	final.cat	PharmacoScan	white	white	white	white		white	white		white	white
p.R454C	II	classI-III	Union,Maewo, Chinese-2, Kalo	2		0	3	0		0	0	0.002009	0
p.V291M	II	classI-III	Viangchan, Jammu	2	<u>.</u>	0	1	0		0	0	0.001205	0
p.H155D	II	classI-III	Acrokorinthos	C	)	0	1	0		0	0	0.000402	0
p.R136C	II.	classI-III	Valladolid	C	)	0	1	0		0	0	0.000402	0
p.R439P	II.	classI-III	Pawnee	C	)	0	0	0		0	1	0	0.000261
p.S188F	II.	classI-III	Mediterranean, Dallas, Panama, Sassari, Cagliari, Birmingham	C	)	0	0	1		0	0	0	0.000261
p.V68M	III	classI-III	Asahi, A-	50		3	73	1		1	2	0.050623	0.001043
p.L323P	III	classI-III	A- (968), Betica,Selma, Guantanamo	Z	ļ	0	6	0		0	0	0.004018	0
p.E156K	III	classI-III	ilesha	1		0	2	0		0	0	0.001205	0
p.E317K	III	classI-III	Kalyan-Kerala, Jamnaga, Rohini	C	)	0	1	0		0	1	0.000402	0.000261
<mark>p.D282H</mark>	III	<mark>classI-III</mark>	Not Interrogated (Seattle, Lodi, Modena, Ferrara II, Athens-like)	C	)	<mark>0</mark>	<mark>1</mark>	1		<mark>0</mark>	<mark>3</mark>	<mark>0.000402</mark>	<mark>0.001043</mark>
p.L235F	III	classI-III	Nanning	C	)	0	1	0		0	0	0.000402	0
p.G163S	III	classI-III	Mahidol	1		0	0	0		0	0	0.000402	0
p.L128R	III	classI-III	Salerno Pyrgos	1		0	0	1		0	0	0.000402	0.000261
p.R227Q	III	classI-III	Mexico City	C	)	0	0	0		0	1	0	0.000261
p.I48T	III	classI-III	Aures	C	)	0	0	0		0	1	0	0.000261
p.N126D	IV	classIV	A	138	3 2	.5 1	31	8		2	8	0.11812	0.004692
p.D350H	IV	classIV	Mirad'Aire	1		0	6	0		0	0	0.002812	0
p.M159I	NA	VUS	Not Interrogated	1		0	1	0		0	1	0.000804	0.000261
p.G316D	NA	VUS	Not Interrogated	C	)	0	1	0		0	1	0.000402	0.000261
p.D251N	NA	VUS	Not Interrogated	C	)	0	1	0		0	0	0.000402	0
p.D194E	NA	VUS	Not Interrogated	C	)	0	1	2		0	3	0.000402	0.001303
p.V303G	NA	VUS	Not Interrogated	C	)	0	0	0		0	1	0	0.000261

Robinson KM, et al. Pharmacogenomics J. 2019;19(3):305-14.

![](_page_58_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Most common Class I-III variant observed was A-(we found in 8.7% of blacks)

### SJ patients

### 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 allele frequencies

![](_page_58_Figure_4.jpeg)

Plus we found one Pawnee variant (< 1 in 100,000 Exac)

![](_page_59_Picture_0.jpeg)

pos	platform	multi. coef	multi.p	n.typed	maf	white. maf	black. maf	gene. func	snp.func	allele
153764217	exomechip,wes,snp6	2.635	3.19E-05	406	0.034	0	0.117	'exonic	nonsyno nymous	A-
153763492	wes,dmet	1.459	5.06E-03	563	0.075	0	0.328	exonic	nonsyno nymous	A
153759858	wes	0.698	2.40E-01	374	0.306	0.118	0.837	UTR3		
153776107	wes	-0.911	2.82E-01	350	0.009	0	0.034	upstrea m		
153760654	wes	0.442	6.04E-01	375	0.141	0.108	0.223	exonic	synonyma	ous

# G6PD phenotype based on genotype by gender

![](_page_60_Figure_1.jpeg)

PG4KDS data on PharmacoScan<sup>™</sup> from 06/2020